



Grazing hinders seed dispersal during crop failure in a declining oak woodland

Pedro G. Vaz^{a,b,*}, Miguel N. Bugalho^b, Jose M. Fedriani^{c,d}

^a cE3c-Centre for Ecology, Evolution and Environmental Changes & CHANGE, Global Change and Sustainability Institute, Faculdade de Ciências, Universidade de Lisboa, Campo Grande, C2, Piso 5, 1749-016 Lisboa, Portugal

^b Centre for Applied Ecology "Prof. Baeta Neves" (CEABN- InBIO), School of Agriculture, University of Lisbon, Tapada da Ajuda, 1349-017 Lisbon, Portugal


^c Centro de Investigaciones sobre Desertificación CIDE, CSIC-UVEG-GV, Carretera de Moncada a Náquera, km 4.5, 46113 Moncada, Valencia, Spain

^d Estación Biológica de Doñana (EBD-CSIC), c/Américo Vespucio s/n, 41092 Seville, Spain

HIGHLIGHTS

- Joint impact of climate-induced crop failure and grazing on forests remains unclear.
- We studied crop failure and grazing effects on seed dispersal in a declining oak woodland.
- A failure year recruited equal seedlings and had longer and faster dispersals.
- Cattle grazing limited seed dispersal distance by 53 %.
- Must manage grazing for seed dispersal to preserve oak woodlands amid climate change.

GRAPHICAL ABSTRACT



ARTICLE INFO

Editor: Manuel Esteban Lucas-Borja

Keywords:

Cattle
Mast seeding
Mediterranean ecosystem
Climate change
Quercus
Agroforestry systems

ABSTRACT

Masting, the synchronized production of variable quantities of seeds, is a global phenomenon in diverse ecosystems, including treed grazing systems where trees and grazing animals coexist. This phenomenon can be interspersed with years of extreme crop failure, whose frequency and unpredictability are increasing. Yet, the combined impact of crop failure and grazing on seed dispersal and seed-to-seedling transition remains poorly understood. To address this concern, we investigated rodent-mediated cork-oak (*Quercus suber*) acorn predation, dispersal, and seedling emergence in cattle grazed and non-grazed areas in central Portugal during years with contrasting masting seasons. We found that the acorns supplied in the crop failure year were dispersed more rapidly and over longer distances than those supplied in the crop success year when other acorns were naturally available. The crop failure year also had 83 % more dispersal events and 84 % more predated acorns than the reproductive success year. However, the higher acorn predation was offset by a 2.4-fold higher percentage of unpredated dispersed acorns recruiting into seedlings. Both years ended up recruiting a similar number of seedlings. Acorns emerged seedlings 3.4 times farther in the crop failure year than in the crop success year. Cattle grazing was the main constraint on seed dispersal distance by rodents, reducing it by 53 %. Our study provides

* Corresponding author at: cE3c-Centre for Ecology, Evolution and Environmental Changes & CHANGE, Global Change and Sustainability Institute, Faculdade de Ciências, Universidade de Lisboa, Campo Grande, C2, Piso 5, 1749-016 Lisboa, Portugal.

E-mail address: pjvaz@fc.ul.pt (P.G. Vaz).

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2023.167835>

Received 15 June 2023; Received in revised form 16 September 2023; Accepted 12 October 2023

Available online 14 October 2023

0048-9697/© 2023 The Authors. Published by Elsevier B.V. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>).

empirical evidence that cattle grazing modulates how an extreme crop failure year can surprisingly be an opportunity for the few existing acorns to have seedlings established farther apart than in a crop success year. If we are to better manage and preserve the high conservation and socio-economic value of Mediterranean cork oak woodlands in the face of climate change, we must prioritize fecund trees and carefully manage seed dispersal factors such as cattle grazing, particularly during years of crop failure.

1. Introduction

The reproductive strategy of many perennial plants consists of highly variable and synchronized inter-annual seed production (i.e., masting; Kelly, 1994). The benefits of masting have been explained by well-supported hypotheses relating to economies of scale of seed production, indicating a greater likelihood of success when numerous seeds are produced at once rather than a few (Pearse et al., 2020). Predator satiation is one of the most prominent explanations, stating that mast years enhance pre-dispersal seed survival by satiating seed predators (Silvertown, 1980; Kelly and Sork, 2002; Huang et al., 2021; Zwolak et al., 2022). However, there is little empirical data on intermast crop failure years (CFYs), despite its potential consequences for plant regeneration. There is a need to better understand seed predation, dispersal, and seedling establishment in these years compared to crop success years (CSYs). This knowledge is particularly important in Mediterranean climates where masting dynamics are changing (Fernandez-Martinez et al., 2012; Pérez-Ramos et al., 2015; Chemnitz, 2019).

Cork oak (*Quercus suber*) Mediterranean woodlands are multiple use silvopastoral systems with oak populations having masting cycles, where animal-mediated acorn dispersal is critical to the ecosystem sustainability (Pons and Pausas, 2006; Aronson et al., 2009; Campos et al., 2013). Cork oak woodlands are classified as “high nature value farming systems” and have high conservation and socio-economic value (Paracchini et al., 2008; Bugalho et al., 2011). In the last decades, a lack of regeneration and increased oak mortality have been reported, which may be endangering the ecological sustainability of cork oak woodlands (Brasier, 1992; Pleninger et al., 2010; Fedriani et al., 2017; Vaz et al., 2019). Like in many plant populations, oak recruitment depends on multiple factors including acorn crop size, predation, dispersal, and seedling recruitment and establishment (Pulido and Díaz, 2005). The oak woodland understory, usually grazed by ungulates, is dominated by grasslands and shrubs. In the Euro-Mediterranean, acorn dispersal is often carried out by rodents and by the European jay (*Garrulus glandarius*), although the latter is less common or absent in cork oak woodlands with low tree density (Herrera, 1995; Pons and Pausas, 2008).

Grazing by wild and domestic ungulates is likely to affect seed availability, seed predation and dispersal, and diminish tree-seedling establishment (Levey et al., 2002; Pulido and Díaz, 2005; Leal et al., 2022). Yet, the consequences of ungulate grazing for animal-mediated seed dispersal in contrasting CSYs and CFYs have been overlooked. Research shows that scatter-hoarding rodents and their habitat are affected by the presence of large ungulates (Smit et al., 2001; Torre et al., 2007; Bush et al., 2012; Navarro-Castilla et al., 2017), but how grazing impacts on distance and time to rodent-mediated seed dispersal remains largely unknown (Muñoz and Bonal, 2007). Both short and long seed dispersal distance events are key to the recruitment process and thus for the population persistence and expansion (e.g., Clark et al., 1999; Seget et al., 2022). Also, the time mediated between seed shedding and dispersal is critical to its survival, determining pre-dispersal exposure to predators and pathogens, desiccation, and, ultimately, seed death (Hadj-Chikh et al., 1996; Walter et al., 2013).

Some studies have emphasized a suite of driving factors on rodent-mediated seed dispersal in oak-dominated systems with masting reproduction, where these animals are key and common seed dispersers. Very few studies, however, compared the driver factors between CSYs and CFYs (Puerta-Piñero et al., 2010). The microhabitat where the acorn is

prior to dispersal, in open areas or underneath shrubs, can be of primary importance for the dispersal (Pérez-Ramos and Marañón, 2008; García-Hernández et al., 2016). The microhabitat of acorn arrival (open or shrub) is also a potential explanatory variable of dispersal distance and fate (Perea et al., 2011a; González-Rodríguez and Villar, 2012). Acorns are major food resources for rodents and both distance and time to dispersal are likely to be influenced by the acorn size (Gómez et al., 2008; Morán-López et al., 2018). As rodent abundance influences the number of interactions with acorns, rodent density is also key for acorn predation and dispersal (e.g., Sunyer et al., 2013, 2014; Navarro-Castilla et al., 2017).

In this study, we investigate the interplay between masting, rodent-mediated dispersal, and livestock grazing. We track cork-oak acorns from dispersal to seedling emergence in central Portugal, examining both grazed and ungrazed areas during successful and failed crop years. Our approach focuses on understanding rodent-mediated dispersal during extreme intermast CFYs and assessing grazing effects through comparisons with long-term enclosures. We addressed the following questions and hypotheses:

- 1) How does rodent-mediated acorn dispersal (distance and latency to removal) differ between CSYs and CFYs? We hypothesized that in a CFY, when acorns are scarce and rodents should quickly move them farther away from conspecifics inside the shrubs, the time to dispersal would be shorter and the dispersal distance longer.
- 2) Does cattle grazing influence rodent-mediated acorn dispersal? We hypothesized that grazing, which can reduce habitat quality and increase rodent stress, would negatively affect acorn dispersal distance.
- 3) How does rodent density influence acorn dispersal? We hypothesized that higher rodent densities would increase the likelihood of acorn encounters, resulting in shorter distances and times to dispersal.
- 4) What are the effects of acorn size (weight) and microhabitat type (open areas or underneath shrubs) on rodent-mediated dispersal? We hypothesized that heavier acorns would disperse first and farther. Furthermore, considering rodents' preference for shrubs in Mediterranean oak woodlands, we expect faster and closer dispersal of acorns underneath shrubs compared to those in open microsites.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Field methods

2.1.1. Study area

The study was conducted in central Portugal (38°49′49.526″N 8°49′15.899″W), in a 11,000 ha dominated by cork-oak woodlands (Fig. 1) known in Portugal as *montados* (*dehesas* in Spain) within the state-owned farm Companhia das Lezírias (CL). Since the XIX century, CL has been managing cork-oak *montados* for cork harvesting, cattle raising, hunting, and, lately, for biodiversity conservation. Different CL *montado* areas have been fenced to exclude grazing by cattle and to foster oak natural regeneration. Grazing exclusion provides an opportunity to explore the interactions between grazing and rodent-mediated acorn dispersal overtime.

The climate in the study area is Mediterranean, with hot, dry summers and cool, wet winters. Mean annual precipitation is 608 mm and mean annual temperature is 16 °C. The slopes are mild, and the altitude range from 1 to 53 m. Soils are well-drained deep Haplic Arenosols




Fig. 1. Cork oak (*Quercus suber*) woodland and an acorn-dispersing rodent. (A) grazed study site; (B) scatter-hoarding wood mouse (*Apodemus sylvaticus*); and (C) seedling established from a rodent-dispersed acorn.

(WRB, 2006) with low soil water retention capacity. Cork-oak trees in CL have 24–49 % canopy cover (Listopad et al., 2018). Cork-oak acorns usually fall from late September to early December. Understory vegetation is sparse shrubland dominated by *Ulex australis*, *Cistus salvifolius*, *Myrtus communis*, *C. crispus*, *Genista triacanthus*, and *C. ladanifer*. The grassland understory in open areas include annual species, dominated by the forbs *Tolpis barbata* and *Plantago bellardii*, the graminoids *Agrostis pourretii* and *Avena barbata*, and the legumes *Ornithopus compressus* and *Trifolium arvense*. The wood mouse (*Apodemus sylvaticus*) and the Algerian mouse (*Mus spretus*) are the main acorn dispersal rodents in the sites (see Gonçalves et al., 2013) although they also depredate some acorns. The main acorn predators in CL are year-round grazing wild boars (*Sus scrofa*), Iberian pigs (*Sus scrofa domesticus*) grazing freely outside the grazing enclosures between October and December, and sporadically fallow deer (*Dama dama*).

2.1.2. Experimental design

To evaluate the effects of grazing on acorn dispersal distance and time to dispersal, we selected nine pairs of sites, each pair including one grazing enclosure site and one cattle-grazed site. The nine ungrazed sites consisted of three sites each that had not been grazed since 1997, 2004, and 2008. Therefore, by the beginning of the experiment, these sites had experienced grazing exclusion for 21, 14, and 10 years, respectively. Across sites, cork-oak trees have a mean basal area of 13.0 m²/ha (± 0.6 SE) and a density of 53.6 trees/ha (± 1.2 SE) (Mexia et al., 2022). The distance from any site pair to the nearest one averaged 1191 m (± 488 SE; range = 255–4720 m). Within site pairs, the mean distance between the grazed and the ungrazed site was 407 m (± 70 SE; range = 218–912 m). Within each site, we randomly selected two focal cork-oak trees (≥ 25 cm DBH) 102 m apart (± 3 SE; range = 93–137 m). Because long-distance acorn dispersals (e.g., > 30 m) by our target rodent species are likely to be rare (Pons and Pausas, 2007a; Morán-López et al., 2018), we

anticipated ~100 m to ensure independence in the acorn-dispersals between the site's cork-oak trees. To evaluate the effect of microhabitat on acorn-dispersal, the 36 oaks had at least one shrub of the locally dominant species and an open area with only litter and grasses under the tree canopy. The dominant shrubs used as microhabitat were *Ulex* spp. (mainly *U. australis*) on all but three cork-oaks where the species were *M. communis*, *G. triacanthus*, and *C. ladanifer*. All selected oaks were >50 m from a barbed-wire fence.

To assess the effects of supply (i.e., source) microhabitat (open / shrub) on acorn-dispersal, we placed two pairs of acorn supply stations under the cork-oak canopy, one pair under shrub canopy and the other pair in the open area 1 m away from the shrub. Shrub cover has not changed over the duration of the study around our focal cork-oaks. The paired stations were of two types and were located ~50 cm apart from each other. To isolate the effect of rodents from other potential consumers, one station was protected from birds and large herbivores with a 32 × 25 × 12 cm wire cage (1.4 cm mesh size) and the other was left unprotected (i.e., incage / outcage). To allow rodents to the interior, the wire cage had two 5 × 5 cm entrances (e.g., Perea et al., 2011a). The cages were firmly attached to the ground, ensuring that they were not displaced during the study.

During November 2018, we collected ~2000 ripe acorns from CL cork-oaks. In 2019, given the extreme failure of cork-oak acorn production in the study region, we collected ~2000 ripe acorns from cork-oaks within a 100 km radius of CL on November 5–9. In this year of crop failure, acorns were collected from six cork oaks surrounded by non-fecund ones. This suggests that fecund cork oaks can produce acorns even in years when most cork oaks do not, although we did not find these fecund cork oaks in our study sites. As the natural shedding of acorns coincides with their full maturity, we sought to make the collection match this moment (Merouani et al., 2003). So, we collected acorns on the tree that fell very easily from the cupules or recently fallen on the ground with a homogeneous brown color and no signs of desiccation. To discard weevil-infested acorns, we mixed all the acorns and then floated them in water. The mean weight of the acorns before labeling was 7.6 g (± 0.1 SE; median = 7.5 g; range = 3.2–18.5 g). For the labeling, we drilled a 1-mm hole in the acorn without damaging the embryo, through which we inserted a 3-cm long wire (0.6 mm diameter). For easy relocation, we attached a 14.5 × 1.6 cm yellow numbered plastic label to the wire. This tagging method does not alter acorn dispersal patterns and yields a high recovery rate (Xiao et al., 2006). The weight of the acorn was then recorded to the nearest 0.01 g, with the labeling corresponding to 6–15 % of the total weight.

We placed five labeled acorns on the ground per supply station, totaling 1440 acorns (5 acorns × 2 station types × 2 microhabitats × 36 oaks × 2 years). The tracking of each acorn began the day after the supply. We tracked cork-oak acorns during the 2018–19 and 2019–20 seasons, from November 17 to March 18 in the first year and November 12 to January 31 in the second year. In the first year, we monitored the acorns daily until day 9, then every 4 days until day 25, weekly until day 89, and biweekly until day 119. In the second year, we tracked the acorns on day 1, then every 2 days until day 9, every 4 days until day 25, weekly until day 48, and biweekly until day 78.

2.1.3. Data collection

On every visit, we recorded each acorn dispersal location and any event that occurred on it (e.g., predation, seedling emergence). We searched for acorns within a minimum radius of 50 m around the supply station, adding another 30 m centered on each found acorn. Our detection effort was similar throughout the open areas although we increased search effort for acorns located under shrubs, aiming to compensate for the potentially lower tag detectability in this microhabitat. We measured the distance from the acorn to the supply station (dispersal distance) with a laser meter and a target or with a meter tape, both 1-mm precision. For easy relocation, we marked every acorn location with a labeled stick (30–50 × 0.3 cm) (Xiao et al., 2005). To

examine whether the acorn-dispersal would vary with the microhabitat of arrival, we documented if the microsite of acorn deposition on that visit was an open area or under a shrub.

We considered that there was an event if the acorn was moved, gnawed or predated (eaten), cached (e.g., hidden in a log or buried in the ground), or went missing. Intact acorns in the station were considered non-events. Acorns predated while still in the supply stations were omitted from the dispersal distance and time to dispersal analyses. We removed depredated acorns from the study area and assigned them their latest dispersal distance. We deemed an acorn depredated when totally or partially consumed with the embryo damaged (radicle plus plumule). From the first week on, acorns with severely wrinkled skin (dehydrated), very discolored (yellowish), and clearly hollow when carefully pressed were considered non-viable and thus removed.

To evaluate the effect of cork-oak crop size on the acorn-dispersal, we used the Visual Survey Method (Koenig et al., 1994) on the 36 cork-oaks prior to significant acorn fall in each late September. The Visual Survey Method is rapid, effective, and has been validated in predicting acorn production in similar agroforestry systems in the Iberian Peninsula (Carevic et al., 2014). Per cork-oak, we obtained the crop size from the sum of acorn counts for 8 s in each orthogonal direction of the crown, totaling 32 s. One observer completed all the counting.

To examine the effect of rodent density on acorn-dispersals, we conducted three live-trapping sessions of four consecutive nights per focal cork-oak, before (April 2018), between (May 2019), and after the two-year acorn tracking seasons (January 2020). Per session, we set six Sherman traps ($8 \times 9 \times 23$ cm) within a 30 m radius of each focal oak, totaling 216 traps (6 traps \times 36 trees). We positioned the six traps ≥ 10 m apart in variable arrangements, each under a shrub to maximize number of captures and thus to attain most accurate estimates of rodent density (Fedriani, 2005). Trap locations were marked on the shrubs with tape and geo-referenced using a GPS unit with 0.3–1 m precision by post-processing. Each trap was set on the first day, baited with a bread toast (4×4 cm) with peanut butter, and checked every day at sunrise.

We marked the captured mice individually with a permanent tattoo on their tails (Chen et al., 2016) and released them at their respective capture locations. For marking, we kept the animal restrained without anesthesia within a thick transparent and well aired bag, with only the tail exposed for tattooing. Each tattoo was done using 1-mL syringes and 30-gauge needles replaced between animals and typically took < 3 min. We used green and red human tattooing inks from Killer Ink Limited (Liverpool, UK). The whole procedure was previously authorized (License 332/2018/CAPT) and reviewed by the animal care committee of the Portuguese Institute for Nature and Forest Conservation (ICNF - Instituto de Conservação da Natureza e das Florestas). Mouse capture and handling were in compliance with Directive 2010/63/EU on the protection of animals used for scientific purposes.

2.2. Statistical analyses

2.2.1. Dispersal distance

To evaluate the effects of the explanatory variables on the dispersal distance, we conducted generalized mixed-effects modeling in a Bayesian framework. We created the Bayesian models in Stan computational framework (<http://mc-stan.org/>) accessed with *brms* package in R (Bürkner, 2017). Per acorn, we analyzed the final distance to the supply station.

The overall generalized mixed-effects Bayesian model to evaluate the effects on the dispersal distance was defined as follows. We modeled distances as right-censored in the cases of the acorns that went missing, using the *cens* function in *brms*. Since dispersal could eventually occur after the experiment on acorns remaining at the station for the entire time (cached or as non-events), we also modeled them as having right-censored distances. We used a hurdle mixed model to analyze the relationship between dispersal distance and acorn crop year, grazing, and other covariates. The high occurrence of acorns with zero distance

moved in our data led us to choose this approach, specifically focusing on estimating dispersal distance. Because dispersal distance was continuously distributed and greater than zero, we used the gamma family for the model, with log link.

As dispersal distance data were nested by supply station, which were arranged in pairs (incage, outcage), and these in turn were nested by tree, we used the three as random factors following that hierarchical order (tree/station pair/station identifiers). The numeric fixed covariates were acorn weight and rodent density.

The fixed effects included the categorical variable grazing (levels = grazed, 21-, 14-, 10-year enclosure), crop year (success [2018–19], failure [2019–20]), station type (incage, outcage), supply microhabitat (open, shrub), and arrival microhabitat (open, shrub). Because preliminary exploratory analysis showed the univariate model with grazing having four levels equaled (Δ ELPD LOO = 0.1 ± 0.2 SE) the predictive accuracy of the null model, we opted for grazing with two levels (ungrazed, grazed). The correlation matrices for the initial explanatory variables revealed no collinearity problems (≤ 0.57 in all cases).

To account for effects that could differ with crop year, the initial full model included interactions between year and other explanatory variables, but they didn't improve the fit, so they were omitted. We used Watanabe-Akaike Information Criterion (WAIC; Watanabe, 2010) to compare the relative fit of the models to the data, interpreting WAIC differences greater than twice its corresponding standard error as suggesting that the model with the lower WAIC fitted the data substantially better (Vaz et al., 2021).

To improve convergence while controlling against overfitting, we assigned weakly informative priors to all the effect size beta parameters of the model (see Gelman, 2022). We used *normal* (0,2) distribution for betas on numeric variables and categorical variables except for arrival microhabitat and acorn weight, for which we employed the *normal* (0,1) distribution, and grazing, for which we used the *normal* (0,3) distribution. We ran four parallel MCMC chains until convergence was reached (all Rhat ≤ 1.1). Each chain had 4000 iterations (warmup = 1000, thin = 1), totaling 12,000 post-warmup samples. We assessed model adequacy using posterior predictive checks. Prior to all the analyses, we centered and standardized the numeric covariates. We performed all analyses in R v. 4.1.1 (R Core Team, 2021). In addition to the overall model described so far, we also conducted a univariate hurdle gamma mixed model to evaluate the effect of acorn crop size per tree on dispersal distance during the success year. We used the normal (0,2) distribution for the effect size beta parameter in this model. Through Bayesian statistical inference, we estimated the β parameters of the models, their credible intervals, and tested statistical hypotheses (e.g., McElreath, 2018). As the Bayesian inference returns a distribution of possible effect values (the posterior), the credible interval is the range containing a particular percentage of probable values (e.g., 95 %). After we have computed the posterior distribution for β , we have interrogated it directly. For instance, if 99 % of the posterior distribution is above 0, we were 99 % confident that $\beta > 0$, $P(\beta > 0) = 0.99$, and 1 % confident that $\beta < 0$ (Azedo et al., 2022).

2.2.2. Time to dispersal

To investigate the association between time to acorn-dispersal and the set of explanatory variables, we used Cox proportional hazards frailty modeling (Therneau and Grambsch, 2000). The response variable was the number of follow-up days until the dispersal event and was modeled as right-censored due to the uncertainty that the dispersal could eventually occur in some acorns after the experiment ended. We used the same full set of fixed covariates as in the overall full Bayesian model for dispersal distance. Similarly, the initial full model included interactions between year and other explanatory variables. The effect of supply station was accounted for by including it as a random proportionality factor ("frailty"). Adding station pair to the random effects would have significantly worsened ($\chi^2 = 271.9$, $P < 0.0001$) the Cox model fit (*anova* command in the *coxme* package in R; Therneau, 2020).

To fit the Cox model, we used the *survival* package in R (Therneau, 2021). We first evaluated the significance (chi-square test; $P < 0.05$) of each interaction with crop year (Therneau and Grambsch, 2000). The interactions that were significant were crop year * grazing and crop year * acorn arrival microhabitat. To infer the expected time of acorn first dispersal with estimates of uncertainty by covariate from the two Cox models, we used the *coxed* package in R, via the nonparametric step-function approach (Kropko and Harden, 2020).

2.2.3. Rodent density

To calculate the rodent density (ha^{-1}) per year associated to each of the 36 cork-oaks, we used the multi-session dataset from the live-trappings. We used spatially explicit capture-recapture models (Efford, 2004; Borchers and Efford, 2008) fitted via the maximum likelihood method using the *secr* package in R (Efford, 2022a). We used the half-normal detection function for the detection probability. Half-normal has g_0 (encounter rate) and σ (scale for g_0 decreasing with distance to the home-range center) parameters, defining the detection as a function of location. To model g_0 , we ran six models considering the individual effects (learned or transient responses) of animals, traps, and interactions of both (for details, see Efford, 2022b). We modeled σ as a constant in all cases. To select among the six models plus the null model (having constant g_0 and σ), we used the Akaike Information Criterion (AIC command in *secr*). Following the AIC, we estimated rodent density considering trap-specific responses on the encounter rate ($g_0 \sim k$; see Efford, 2022b).

3. Results

Sixty-seven percent of the 1440 acorns supplied in the two years were dispersed. The mean dispersal distance from the supply station was 5.91 m (median = 2.01 m, range = 0.01–45.78 m). Between the two years with contrasting crop successes, the dispersal distance and its distribution differed (Fig. 2A). In 2018–19, 52.4 % of the 720 acorns did not disperse while in the CFY only 12.6 % of the 720 acorns were not moved. Further, 75 % of the acorns dispersed ≤ 1.05 m only in 2018–19, while that 75th percentile was 12 times higher in 2019–20 (12.53 m). Ten percent of the 2019–20 dispersals were longer than the maximum dispersal in 2018–19. The first acorn-dispersal occurred on average at day 10 (median 3 days). Overall, dispersal was much faster in CFY than in CSY (Fig. 2B). All acorns dispersed by day 17 in 2019–20, but not until day 104 in 2018–19.

Predation was the most frequent final acorn fate in both years, especially among dispersed acorns (Table 1). Noticeably, 80.8 % of the supplied acorns were predated in the second year (cork oak CFY). Seventeen and 13 % of acorns went missing in 2018–19 and 2019–20, respectively. Missing acorns were more frequent for undispersed than for dispersed ones. In both years, barely ~1 % of the overall acorns emerged seedlings. In 2018–19, seven seedlings emerged between February 12 and March 16 (i.e., mean = 49 days after supply; range = 29–104). In 2019–20, eight seedlings emerged between January 14 and 31 (72; 63–78). The percentage of dispersed acorns recruited into seedlings was 7 % and 17 % in the CSY and CFY, respectively (Table 1). The mean dispersal distance of emerged seedlings was 3.17 (range = 0.27–18.75 m) and 10.76 m (0.40–32.00 m) in the CSY and CFY.

The mean density of dispersing rodents estimated via spatially explicit capture-recapture models on the 36 focal trees was 18 (95 % CI =15–22) and 9 (8–11) individuals ha^{-1} in the CSY and CFY, respectively.

3.1. Dispersal distance

When we accounted for distance censoring and the effect of random factors, only acorn supply microhabitat and rodent density had no

Table 1
Final fate of the tracked acorns in years when acorn production was successful (2018–19) and failed (2019–2020).

		Year	
		2018–19	2019–20
Final status		% (count)	% (count)
Dispersed	Predated	33.9 (244)	80.8 (582)
	Cached	1.5 (11)	1.5 (11)
	Moved only	7.9 (57)	0.4 (3)
	Missing	3.3 (24)	3.5 (25)
	Seedling	1.0 (7)	1.1 (8)
	Total	47.6 (343)	87.4 (629)
Undispersed	Predated	11.5 (83)	2.8 (20)
	Cached	0.4 (3)	0.1 (1)
	No event	26.7 (192)	0.0 (0)
	Missing	13.8 (99)	9.7 (70)
	Seedling	0.0 (0)	0.0 (0)
	Total	52.4 (377)	12.6 (91)
Total		720	720




Fig. 2. Cork-oak acorn dispersal in years when acorn production was successful (2018–19) and failed (2019–2020). Kernel density of dispersal distance (A); proportion of non-dispersed acorns over time (B).

effects with 95 % positive or negative credible intervals (Table 2, Fig. 3A–E). In the CFY (2019–20), the mean dispersal distance (posterior distribution mean = 9.21, 95 % credible interval = 6.60–12.93 m) increased 5.3 times compared to CSY (2018–19) (1.74, 1.18–2.57 m). As hypothesized, grazing negatively impacted dispersal distance, standing out as the variable with the most substantial negative effect on dispersal distance (Fig. A.1, Appendix 1). The mean of the posterior distribution was 0.81 m in the grazed sites (95 % CI = 0.55–1.17), whereas it was 1.74 m in the grazing exclosures (1.18–2.57). Thus, cattle grazing reduced dispersal distance by 53 %.

Acorn arrival microhabitat, acorn weight, and acorn station type also had evident effects on dispersal distance. Mean dispersal distances were 60 % longer in acorns dispersed into open areas than into shrubs. As expected, heavier acorns dispersed farther. For example, a weight increase from 4 to 8 g corresponded to a 25 % increase in dispersal distance. Acorns offered to rodents only (incage supply stations) dispersed 1.3 times farther (posterior distribution mean = 2.19; 95 % CI = 1.47–3.28 m) than outcage acorns (1.74; 1.18–2.57).

In the CSY, it was evident that dispersal went farther in trees with smaller crop sizes (Table 2, Fig. 3F). For example, tripling the acorn count from 4 to 12 led to 45 % shorter dispersal distances. This result was in line with the farther dispersals in the cork oak CFY than in the CSY.

3.2. Time to dispersal

The time it took for acorns to disperse varied depending on the year (Fig. 4, Table 3). In the CFY (2019–20), acorns dispersed 14 times faster (mean = ~4 days) than in the CSY (2018–19; ~55 days). The effect of grazing on dispersal speed also differed between years, with the greatest difference seen in the CFY. Acorns at grazed sites in the CFY dispersed 1.3 times faster (mean = ~3; 95 % CI = 3–4 days) than acorns at ungrazed sites (~5; 4–5 days). Time to first dispersal had also arrival microhabitat as an important predictor in both years, although with surprisingly opposite effects. In 2018–19, acorns that arrived at shrubs dispersed 71 % faster than acorns that arrived at open areas. In 2019–20, acorns that arrived at shrubs dispersed 39 % slower than acorns that arrived at open areas. As for supply microhabitat, acorns that were

Table 2
Fixed part of the mixed-effects Bayesian models predicting the effects of cattle grazing and covariates on the dispersal distance of cork-oak acorns in years when acorn production was successful (2018–19) and failed (2019–2020). CI = credible interval for the β parameter (the range containing 95 % of probable values); $\beta < 0$ = probability of confidence that the effect is positive (if $\beta > 0$) or negative ($\beta < 0$). Potential scale reduction factor on split chains (Rhat) was 1.00 in all parameters.

Model/variable	β	Error	2.5 % CI	97.5 % CI	$\beta < 0$
Overall model					
Intercept	0.79	0.20	0.40	1.18	1.00
Acorn crop year					
Failure (reference = success)	1.67	0.15	1.38	1.95	1.00
Grazing					
Grazed (ungrazed)	-0.77	0.20	-1.17	-0.36	1.00
Acorn arrival microhabitat					
Shrub (open)	-0.47	0.09	-0.64	-0.29	1.00
Acorn supply microhabitat					
Shrub (open)	-0.14	0.13	-0.41	0.12	0.86
Acorn weight	0.11	0.04	0.03	0.20	1.00
Rodent density	-0.08	0.10	-0.29	0.12	0.79
Acorn station type					
incage (outcage)	0.23	0.10	0.04	0.42	0.99
Crop size in success year					
Intercept	-0.12	0.14	-0.38	0.15	0.82
Tree crop size	0.30	0.13	-0.56	-0.04	0.99

placed in shrubs dispersed 2.8 times faster than acorns that were placed in open areas. Rodent density markedly affected acorn dispersal speed, but the anticipated negative effect was more evident for higher rodent densities (e.g., $>30 \text{ ha}^{-1}$). Caged acorns dispersed 17 % faster than acorns that were not caged.

4. Discussion

Our results provide novel insights on mast dynamics and about increasing intermast events of widespread crop failure. Our data show that an extreme CFY can strikingly affect animal-mediated seed dispersal, both through seed scarcity effects and because primary significant covariates may have very different effects from those occurring during a CSY. By combining crop success and grazing effects in a Mediterranean silvopastoral system, we found evidence of cattle grazing effects on the distance and time to cork oak acorn dispersal. The CFY year had 5 times longer and 14 faster seed dispersals and 83 % more dispersal events, although, consistent with the predator satiation hypothesis, the percentage of predated acorns also increased by 84 % as compared to the CSY. Our results suggest predation/dispersal (distance and velocity) trade-offs, as the net outcome for the early cork oak demography was surprisingly similar in such contrasting masting seasons, with only 1 % of acorns recruiting into seedlings.

4.1. Tree crop size and widespread crop failure

Our results showed that acorns in the less productive cork oak trees during the CSY were also dispersed farther. This is consistent with the much longer dispersal distances observed during the CFY. Although past studies on seed dispersal have rarely focused on extreme CFYs, our between-year comparison is in line with research obtained for holm oak (*Quercus ilex*) documenting a less clumped pattern of dispersed seeds in a small crop size year (Puerta-Piñero et al., 2010). Our inter-tree seed dispersal comparison is compatible with few studies documenting shorter dispersal differences with increased seed abundance (Jansen et al., 2004; Moore et al., 2007). As the CSY had simultaneously twice the rodent density and far fewer dispersal events, our results also countered the predator dispersal hypothesis (Vander Wall and Balda, 1977; Vander Wall, 2010), predicting that larger crops may imply higher per capita seed dispersal rates or longer dispersal distances than in non-masting years (Seget et al., 2022). Our data better support the predator satiation hypothesis as a mechanism of mast seeding in cork oak woodlands. This adaptation to predator satiation is common in forest stands dominated by one species (Kelly and Sork, 2002). In mixed species stands with cork oak trees (e.g., mixed cork- and holm-oak woodlands), it may be possible that the availability of other tree species' seeds may contribute to satiate predators in cork oak CFYs. Some studies have compared seed predation between monospecific and mixed forest stands in masting years, but not in extreme CFYs (Smith III, 1987; Hart, 1995; Curran and Webb, 2000).

We suggest that the few acorn-producing cork-oak trees in the extreme CFY may have had more effective dispersals among their acorns escaping predation than in the CSY. This is a counter-intuitive explanation contributing to unveil seed dispersal mechanisms by oak trees in CFYs. We simulated the presence of such fecund trees by supplying acorns in our focal trees in the CFY, although true highly fecund individuals tend to be large, old trees producing more and larger acorns as compared to our focal trees (see Bogdziewicz et al., 2020). One effective management approach could involve managers bringing seeds to the area, just as we have done under the canopy of certain trees. This will allow for faster and longer dispersal of seeds, which we have observed to be more effective than in a CSY. Noticeably, the higher predation in the CFY was offset by a 2.4 times higher percentage (17 vs. 7 %) of dispersed acorns recruited into seedlings, with both years ending up recruiting a similar number of seedlings from the supplied acorns. Importantly, seedling emergences occurred ~3.4 times farther in the CFY than in the




Fig. 3. Mean fitted acorn dispersal distances ($\pm 95\%$ credible intervals) by grazing and covariates, as predicted by a mixed-effects Bayesian model for when cork-oak acorn production was successful (2018–19) and failed (2019–20) (A–E). F: Mean fitted acorn dispersal distance ($\pm 95\%$ CI) by tree crop size, as predicted by a mixed-effects Bayesian model in 2018–19. Supply station = type of acorn offering station (inside or outside a wire mesh cage); arrival microhabitat = microhabitat of the latest acorn location (underneath a shrub or in the open area); crop size = acorn count per focal cork-oak (zero on all trees in 2019–20); grazing = cattle grazing; supply microhabitat = microhabitat in which the acorn was offered.




Fig. 4. Mean fitted number of days to first acorn-dispersal ($\pm 95\%$ confidence intervals calculated via bootstrapping) by explanatory covariates, as predicted by the optimal Cox proportional hazard model with expected durations, when cork-oak acorn production was successful (2018–19) and failed (2019–20). See Fig. 3 for variable descriptions.

CSY. As only 1.5 % of the dispersed acorns were cached in each year, caching likely had a negligible effect on decreasing the proportion of predated acorns (Vander Wall, 2002; Xiao et al., 2013; Zwolak et al., 2016).

Table 3

Survival model by Cox multiple regression for relative “risk” of dispersal on cork-oak acorns in years when production was successful (2018–19) and failed (2019–2020). Supply station was used as a random proportionality factor (frailty). HR = hazard rate.

Variable	β	SE	χ^2	P	HR (95 % CI)
Acorn crop year					
Failure (reference = success)	3.377	0.189	320.93	<0.0001	29.29 (20.24–42.38)
Grazing					
Grazed (ungrazed)	0.125	0.158	0.63	0.430	1.13 (0.83–1.55)
Acorn arrival microhabitat					
Shrub (open)	1.589	0.142	125.09	<0.0001	4.90 (3.71–6.47)
Acorn supply microhabitat					
Shrub (open)	0.339	0.123	7.60	0.006	1.40 (1.10–1.79)
Acorn weight	0.021	0.040	0.27	0.600	1.02 (0.94–1.10)
Rodent density	0.387	0.070	30.34	<0.0001	1.47 (1.28–1.69)
Acorn station type					
Incage (outage)	–0.311	0.118	6.98	0.008	0.73 (0.58–0.92)
Crop year * Grazing					
Failure * grazed	0.312	0.148	4.42	0.035	1.37 (1.02–1.83)
Crop year * Acorn arrival microhabitat					
Failure * shrub	–1.896	0.161	139.05	<0.0001	0.15 (0.11–0.21)

4.2. Grazing and crop failure

Our data showed mixed effects of cattle grazing on acorn dispersal in the extreme CFY. Grazing was the main negative factor affecting seed dispersal distance by reducing it by 53 %. Grazing, therefore, seems to attenuate the potential of fecund trees to establish seedlings farther away in CFYs. Providing seeds into the area in a CFY as a management tool may also have better results in an ungrazed area than a grazed one. Promoting regeneration success and oak woodland restoration necessitates the consideration of cattle grazing management during CFYs, namely through the creation of grazing exclusion areas. On the other hand, by reducing the time to dispersal by 30 %, grazing had the potential to increase the survival of acorns quickly moved to adequate microsites, since cork oak is a fast-germinating species when conditions are favorable (Amimi et al., 2020). Yet, as shown by a recent meta-analysis of Mediterranean-wide datasets, acorns are much less likely to survive in the presence of ungulates (Leal et al., 2022). It remains possible that an overall low probability of acorn survival in grazed areas, possibly associated with ungulate predation and lower availability of potential recruitment microsites (Clark et al., 1998; Levey et al., 2002; Gómez et al., 2003) in the study area, may obviate the arguable advantage of the higher dispersal speed shown in our data in a CFY. The faster speed of acorn dispersal may further be outweighed by negative impacts of grazing at later oak life stages (Pulido and Díaz, 2005), namely seedling trampling and seedling and sapling browsing (Cierjacks and Hensen, 2004; Muñoz et al., 2009; Parsons et al., 2021). Proportionally to grazing pressure, cattle might also have the potential to indirectly affect acorn dispersal through negative effects on shrub cover (Smit and Verwijmeren, 2011).

4.3. Further effects

We also show how the effects of other factors known to make animal-

mediated seed dispersal a non-random spatiotemporal process (Pérez-Ramos and Marañón, 2008) can vary from a CSY to a CFY. For example, our hypothesis that acorns underneath shrubs would disperse faster was supported by the result of the arrival microhabitat variable in the CSY, but not in the CFY when acorns were moved 39 % faster into open areas than into shrubs. As acorns are high nutritional value resources (Pons and Pausas, 2007a), which are scarce in CFYs, a possible explanation for that result is an attempt by rodents to quickly escape the increased acorn predation pressure by other rodents beneath shrubs (García-Hernández et al., 2016) at the cost of increased risk of their own predation in open grasslands (Sunyer et al., 2016). Similar trade-offs were previously described between higher risks of rodent seed predation beneath vegetation canopies and reduced cache pilferage in open vegetation (Preston and Jacobs, 2005; Muñoz and Bonal, 2011; Steele et al., 2014). On the other hand, given the higher density and foraging activity of small rodents beneath shrubs (Herrera, 1995; Gray et al., 1998; Rosalino et al., 2011) and that most of the acorns in our work were predated, it is not surprising that shorter dispersal distances were found in this microhabitat.

Irrespective of the crop success, larger acorns were dispersed farther away as hypothesized. Some related studies have also established a positive relationship between larger seeds, which offer higher nutritional rewards for rodents than smaller seeds (Smith and Reichman, 1984; Jansen et al., 2002; Vander Wall, 2003), and dispersal distance (Gómez et al., 2008; Puerta-Piñero et al., 2010; Perea et al., 2011b), as well as better seedling performance later on (Seiwa, 2000; Vander Wall, 2001; Gómez, 2004; Moles and Westoby, 2004; Cicek and Tilki, 2007). The high individual variation in seed size of many plant species (Herrera, 2009; Wang and Ives, 2017) having similar dispersal processes can thus lead to a wide range of rodent-mediated dispersal distances. Interestingly, as the cork oak is the only oak species with annual and biennial acorns of widely varying sizes on the same tree (Elena-Roselló et al., 1993; Ramos et al., 2013), our results may imply an even more pronounced adaptation of the species to short and long-distance dispersal, both important for the population persistence and expansion. On the other hand, we found no evidence that larger acorns were dispersed more rapidly in the two contrasting crop years, which may indicate that the positive selection, reflected in greater dispersal distances, occurs after the acorns are removed, and less by visual assessment as in the case of the European jay (Pons and Pausas, 2007b). Overall, while our study revealed distinct patterns in dispersal timing and distance over two years, we acknowledge that its robustness would have benefited from a larger sample size and a longer duration of acorn tracking. Long-term studies covering multiple masting and crop failure seasons could further enhance the reliability of our findings.

5. Conclusions

Our study provides empirical evidence that extreme CFYs can be opportunities for the few existing seeds to have seedlings established at greater distances and in similar numbers as in a CSY. This phenomenon can be enhanced by greater emergence rates among the fewer acorns escaping predation, associated with more and faster dispersal events. We also revealed that cattle grazing can markedly alter seed dispersal patterns. Notably, during an extreme CFY, it can strongly decrease dispersal distance and increase dispersal speed of cork oak acorns. Considering the rising occurrence of acorn crop failures in many oak woodlands and the ongoing decline of Mediterranean oak silvopastoral systems (e.g., cork and holm oak woodlands) partially attributed to overgrazing, the previously unrecognized interplay between grazing and crop failure warrants attention. To safeguard the ecological and socio-economic value of these systems amidst climate change, effective management strategies for seed dispersal drivers, such as grazing during CFYs, should be acknowledged and prioritized. Successfully preserving and restoring high-value oak woodlands requires management decisions that carefully consider the trade-offs between acorn predation and seedling

establishment, as well as the impact of shrub cover on acorn dispersal. Despite being a significant challenge, recognizing the influence of these multiple drivers in management decisions is crucial.

CRedit authorship contribution statement

Pedro G. Vaz: Conceptualization, Investigation, Data curation, Formal analysis, Visualization, Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing. **Miguel N. Bugalho:** Writing – review & editing. **Jose M. Fedriani:** Conceptualization, Writing – review & editing.

Declaration of competing interest

The authors declare the following financial interests/personal relationships which may be considered as potential competing interests: Pedro G Vaz reports financial support was provided by Portuguese Science and Technology Foundation.

Data availability

Data will be made available on request.

Acknowledgements

We are deeply grateful to the employees of Companhia das Lezírias for the logistical support, especially to Rui Alves and Sandra Alcobia. PGV funded by: Portuguese Science and Technology Foundation (SFRH/BPD/105632/2015; CEABN-InBIO indirect costs [overheads] UID/BIA/50027/2020; cE3c funding UIDB/00329/2020-2023). We thank Abdullah Ibne, University of Lisbon, and to undergraduate students at Lusófona University, Lisbon, for fieldwork assistance. Thanks to Helena Marques for her invaluable tips on SECR models and field assistance.

Appendix A. Supplementary data

Supplementary data to this article can be found online at <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2023.167835>.

References

- Amimi, N., Dussert, S., Vaissayre, V., Ghoul, H., Doubeau, S., Costantini, C., Ammari, Y., Joet, T., 2020. Variation in seed traits among Mediterranean oaks in Tunisia and their ecological significance. *Ann. Bot.* 125, 891–904.
- Aronson, J., Pereira, J.S., Pausas, J.G., 2009. Cork Oak Woodlands on the Edge. *Ecology, Adaptive Management, and Restoration*. Island Press.
- Azedo, R., Ilhéu, A., Santos, S., Vaz, P.G., 2022. Carnivores cross irrigation canals more often through overpasses than through culverts. *Basic Appl. Ecol.* 61, 53–67.
- Bogdziewicz, M., Szymkowiak, J., Calama, R., Crone, E.E., Espelta, J.M., Lesica, P., Marino, S., Steele, M.A., Tenhumberg, B., Tyre, A., Zywiec, M., Kelly, D., 2020. Does mastling scale with plant size? High reproductive variability and low synchrony in small and unproductive individuals. *Ann. Bot.* 126, 971–979.
- Borchers, D.L., Efford, M.G., 2008. Spatially explicit maximum likelihood methods for capture-recapture studies. *Biometrics* 64, 377–385.
- Brasier, C.M., 1992. Oak tree mortality in Iberia. *Nature* 360, 539.
- Bugalho, M.N., Caldeira, M.C., Pereira, J.S., Aronson, J., Pausas, J.G., 2011. Mediterranean cork oak savannas require human use to sustain biodiversity and ecosystem services. *Front. Ecol. Environ.* 9, 278–286.
- Bürkner, P.C., 2017. brms: an R package for Bayesian multilevel models using Stan. *J. Stat. Softw.* 80.
- Bush, E.R., Buesching, C.D., Slade, E.M., Macdonald, D.W., 2012. Woodland recovery after suppression of deer: Cascade effects for small mammals, wood mice (*Apodemus sylvaticus*) and Bank Voles (*Myodes glareolus*). *PLoS One* 7, e31404.
- Campos, P., Huntsinger, L., Oviedo, J.L., Starrs, P.F., Díaz, M., Standiford, R.B., Montero, G., 2013. Mediterranean Oak Woodland Working Landscapes. Springer.
- Carevic, F.S., Alejano, R., Fernandez, M., Martin, D., 2014. Assessment and comparison of the Visual Survey Method for estimating acorn production in Holm oak (*Quercus ilex* ssp. *ballota*) open woodland of Southwestern Spain. *Arid Land Res. Manag.* 28, 102–108.
- Chemnitz, C., 2019. Agriculture atlas. facts and figures on EU farming policy. In: Berlin, Germany; Brussels, Belgium: Heinrich Büll Foundation; Friends of the Earth Europe; BirdLife Europe & Central Asia. www.foeeurope.org/agricultureatlas.
- Chen, M., Kan, L., Ledford, B.T., He, J.-Q., 2016. Tattooing various combinations of ears, tail, and toes to identify mice reliably and permanently. *J. Am. Assoc. Lab. Anim. Sci.* 55, 189–198.
- Cicek, E., Tilki, F., 2007. Seed size effects on germination, survival and seedling growth of *Castanea sativa* mill. *J. Biol. Sci.* 7, 438–441.
- Cierjacks, A., Hensen, I., 2004. Variation of stand structure and regeneration of Mediterranean holm oak along a grazing intensity gradient. *Plant Ecol.* 173, 215–223.
- Clark, J.S., Macklin, E., Wood, L., 1998. Stages and spatial scales of recruitment limitation in southern Appalachian forests. *Ecol. Monogr.* 68, 213–235.
- Clark, J.S., Silman, M., Kern, R., Macklin, E., HilleRisLambers, J., 1999. Seed dispersal near and far: patterns across temperate and tropical forests. *Ecology* 80, 1475–1494.
- Curran, L.M., Webb, C.O., 2000. Experimental tests of the spatiotemporal scale of seed predation in mast-fruiting Dipteroocarpaceae. *Ecol. Monogr.* 70, 129–148.
- Efford, M.G., 2004. Density estimation in live-trapping studies. *Oikos* 106, 598–610.
- Efford, M.G., 2022a. secr: spatially explicit capture-recapture models. R package version 4.5.5. <https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=secur>.
- Efford, M.G., 2022b. An introduction to model specification in secr. Retrieved from. <https://www.otago.ac.nz/density/pdfs/secur-tutorial.pdf>.
- Elena-Roselló, J.A., de Rio, J.M., García, J.L., Santamaría, I.G., 1993. Ecological aspects of the floral phenology of the cork-oak (*Q. suber* L.): why do annual and biennial biotypes appear? *Ann. For. Sci.* 50, 114s–121s.
- Fedriani, J.M., 2005. Do frugivorous mice choose where or what to feed on? *J. Mammal.* 86, 576–586.
- Fedriani, J.M., García, L., Sánchez, M., Calderon, J., Ramo, C., 2017. Long-term impact of protected colonial birds on a jeopardized cork oak population: conservation bias leads to restoration failure. *J. Appl. Ecol.* 54, 450–458.
- Fernandez-Martinez, M., Belmonte, J., Espelta, J.M., 2012. Mastling in oaks: disentangling the effect of flowering phenology, airborne pollen load and drought. *Acta Oecol.* 43, 51–59.
- García-Hernández, M., López-Barrera, F., Vázquez-Reyes, V.M., 2016. Microhabitat affects acorn removal in three sympatric and endangered Neotropical oak species. *Ecol. Res.* 31, 343–351.
- Gelman, A., 2022. Prior choice recommendations. Retrieved from. <https://github.com/stan-dev/stan/wiki/Prior-Choice-Recommendations>.
- Gómez, J.M., 2004. Bigger is not always better: conflicting selective pressures on seed size in *Quercus ilex*. *Evolution* 58, 71–80.
- Gómez, J.M., García, D., Zamora, R., 2003. Impact of vertebrate acorn- and seedling-predators on a Mediterranean *Quercus pyrenaica* forest. *For. Ecol. Manage.* 180, 125–134.
- Gómez, J.M., Puerta-Piñero, C., Schupp, E.W., 2008. Effectiveness of rodents as local seed dispersers of Holm oaks. *Oecologia* 155, 529–537.
- Gonçalves, P., Alcobia, S., Santos-Reis, M., 2013. Atlas of mammals in the Charneca do Infantado. In: Companhia das Lezírias SA, Samora Correia/Centro de Biologia Ambiental da Faculdade de Ciências da Universidade de Lisboa, Lisbon (in Portuguese).
- González-Rodríguez, V., Villar, R., 2012. Post-dispersal seed removal in four Mediterranean oaks: species and microhabitat selection differ depending on large herbivore activity. *Ecol. Res.* 27, 587–594.
- Gray, S.J., Hurst, J.L., Stidworthy, R., Smith, J., Preston, R., MacDougall, R., 1998. Microhabitat and spatial dispersion of the grassland mouse (*Mus spretus* Lataste). *J. Zool.* 246, 299–308.
- Hadj-Chikh, L.Z., Steel, M.A., Smallwood, P.D., 1996. Caching decisions by grey squirrels: a test of the handling time and perishability hypotheses. *Anim. Behav.* 52, 941–948.
- Hart, T.B., 1995. Seed, seedling and subcanopy survival in monodominant and mixed forests of the Ituri forest, Africa. *J. Trop. Ecol.* 11, 443–459.
- Herrera, J., 1995. Acorn predation and seedling production in a low-density population of cork oak (*Quercus suber* L.). *For. Ecol. Manage.* 76, 197–201.
- Herrera, C.M., 2009. Multiplicity in Unity: Plant Subindividual Variation and Interactions with Animals. University of Chicago Press.
- Huang, L., Jin, C., Zhou, L.H., Song, K., Qian, S.H., Lin, D.M., Zhao, L., Chen, B., Yan, E., Michael, R., Yang, Y.C., 2021. Benefit versus cost trade-offs of masting across seed-to-seedling transition for a dominant subtropical forest species. *J. Ecol.* 109, 3087–3098.
- Jansen, P.A., Bartholomew, M., Bongers, F., Elzinga, J.A., den Ouden, J., Van Wieren, S.E., 2002. The role of seed size in dispersal by a scatter-hoarding rodent. In: Levey, D.J., Silva, W.R., Galetti, M. (Eds.), *Seed Dispersal and Frugivory: Ecology, Evolution and Conservation*. CAB International, Tucson, Arizona, pp. 209–225.
- Jansen, P.A., Bongers, F., Hemerik, L., 2004. Seed mass and mast seeding enhance dispersal by a neotropical scatter-hoarding rodent. *Ecol. Monogr.* 74, 569–589.
- Kelly, D., 1994. The evolutionary ecology of mast seeding. *Trends Ecol. Evol.* 9, 465–470.
- Kelly, D., Sork, V.L., 2002. Mast seeding in perennial plants: why, how, where? *Annu. Rev. Ecol. Syst.* 33, 427–447.
- Koenig, W.D., Knops, J.M.H., Carmen, W.J., Stanback, M.T., Mumme, R.L., 1994. Estimating acorn crops using visual surveys. *Can. J. For. Res.* 24, 2105–2112.
- Kropko, J., Harden, J.J., 2020. coxed: duration-based quantities of interest for the cox proportional hazards model. R package version 0.3.3. <https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=coxed>.
- Leal, A.I., Bugalho, M.N., Palmeirim, J.M., 2022. Effects of ungulates on oak regeneration in Mediterranean woodlands: a meta-analysis. *For. Ecol. Manage.* 509, 120077.
- Levey, D.J., Silva, W.R., Galetti, M., 2002. In: Levey, D.J., Silva, W.R., Galetti, M. (Eds.), *Seed Dispersal and Frugivory: Ecology, Evolution, and Conservation*. CABI Publishing.
- Listopad, C., Köbel, M., Príncipe, A., Gonçalves, P., Branquinho, C., 2018. The effect of grazing exclusion over time on structure, biodiversity, and regeneration of high nature value farmland ecosystems in Europe. *Sci. Total Environ.* 262, 561–570.

- McElreath, R., 2018. Statistical rethinking: a bayesian course with examples in R and Stan. Chapman; Hall/CRC.
- Merouani, H., Apolinário, L.M., Almeida, M.H., Pereira, J.S., 2003. Morphological and physiological maturation of acorns of cork oak (*Quercus suber* L.). Seed Sci. Technol. 31, 111–124.
- Mexia, T., Lecomte, X., Caldeira, M.C., Bugalho, M.N., 2022. Conservation zones increase habitat heterogeneity of certified Mediterranean oak woodlands. For. Ecol. Manage. 504, 119811.
- Moles, A.T., Westoby, M., 2004. Seedling survival and seed size: a synthesis of the literature. J. Ecol. 92, 372–383.
- Moore, J.E., McEuen, A.B., Swihart, R.K., Contreras, T.A., Steele, M.A., 2007. Determinants of seed removal distance by scatter-hoarding rodents in deciduous forests. Ecology 88, 2529–2540.
- Morán-López, T., Valladares, F., Tiribelli, F., Pérez-Sepúlveda, J.E., Traveset, A., Díaz, M., 2018. Fragmentation modifies seed trait effects on scatter-hoarders' foraging decisions. Plant Ecol. 219, 325–342.
- Muñoz, A., Bonal, R., 2007. Rodents change acorn dispersal behaviour in response to ungulate presence. Oikos 116, 1631–1638.
- Muñoz, A., Bonal, R., 2011. Linking seed dispersal to cache protection strategies. J. Ecol. 99, 1016–1025.
- Muñoz, A., Bonal, R., Díaz, M., 2009. Ungulates, rodents, shrubs: interactions in a diverse Mediterranean ecosystem. Basic Appl. Ecol. 10, 151–160.
- Navarro-Castilla, Á., Díaz, M., Barja, I., 2017. Does ungulate disturbance mediate behavioural and physiological stress responses in Algerian mice (*Mus spretus*)? A wild enclosure experiment. Hystrix, the Italian. J. Mammal. 28.
- Paracchini, M.L., Petersen, J.E., Hoogeven, Y., Bamps, C., Burfield, I., van Swaay, C., 2008. High Nature Value Farmland in Europe. An Estimate of the Distribution Patterns on the Basis of Land Cover and Biodiversity Data. Office for Official Publications of the European Communities, Luxembourg.
- Parsons, J., Motta, C., Sehgal, G., Miller-ter-Kuile, A., Young, H., Orr, D., 2021. Interactive effects of large herbivores and climate on California oak seedling outcomes. For. Ecol. Manage. 502, 10.
- Pearse, I.S., LaMontagne, J.M., Lordon, M., Hipp, A.L., Koenig, W.D., 2020. Biogeography and phylogeny of masting: do global patterns fit functional hypotheses? New Phytol. 227, 1557–1567.
- Perea, R., San Miguel, A., Gil, L., 2011a. Leftovers in seed dispersal: ecological implications of partial seed consumption for oak regeneration. J. Ecol. 99, 194–201.
- Perea, R., San Miguel, A., Gil, L., 2011b. Acorn dispersal by rodents: the importance of re-dispersal and distance to shelter. Basic Appl. Ecol. 12, 432–439.
- Pérez-Ramos, I.M., Marañón, T., 2008. Factors affecting post-dispersal seed predation in two coexisting oak species: microhabitat, burial and exclusion of large herbivores. For. Ecol. Manage. 255, 3506–3514.
- Pérez-Ramos, I.M., Padilla-Díaz, C.M., Koenig, W.D., Marañón, T., 2015. Environmental drivers of mast-seeding in Mediterranean oak species: does leaf habit matter? J. Ecol. 103, 691–700.
- Plieninger, T., Rolo, V., Moreno, G., 2010. Large-scale patterns of *Quercus ilex*, *Quercus suber*, and *Quercus pyrenaica* regeneration in Central-Western Spain. Ecosystems 13, 644–660.
- Pons, J., Pausas, J.G., 2006. Oak regeneration in heterogeneous landscapes: the case of fragmented *Quercus suber* forests in the eastern Iberian Peninsula. For. Ecol. Manage. 231, 196–204.
- Pons, J., Pausas, J.G., 2007a. Rodent acorn selection in a Mediterranean oak landscape. Ecol. Res. 22, 535–541.
- Pons, J., Pausas, J.G., 2007b. Not only size matters: acorn selection by the European jay (*Garrulus glandarius*). Acta Oecol. 31, 353–360.
- Pons, J., Pausas, J.G., 2008. Modelling jay (*Garrulus glandarius*) abundance and distribution for oak regeneration assessment in Mediterranean landscapes. For. Ecol. Manage. 256, 578–584.
- Preston, S.D., Jacobs, L.F., 2005. Cache decision making: the effects of competition on cache decisions in merriam's kangaroo rat (*Dipodomys merriami*). J. Comp. Psychol. 119, 187–196.
- Puerta-Piñero, C., Gómez, J.M., Schupp, E.W., 2010. Spatial patterns of acorn dispersal by rodents: do acorn crop size and ungulate presence matter? Oikos 119, 179–187.
- Pulido, F.J., Díaz, M., 2005. Regeneration of a Mediterranean oak: a wholecycle approach. Ecoscience 12, 92–102.
- R Core Team, 2021. R: a language and environment for statistical computing. R Foundation for Statistical Computing. Retrieved from. <https://www.R-project.org/>.
- Ramos, S., Vázquez, F.M., Ruiz, T., 2013. Ecological implications of acorn size at the individual tree level in *Quercus suber* L. ISRN Botany 2013.
- Rosalino, L.M., Ferreira, D., Leitão, I., Santos-Reis, M., 2011. Usage patterns of Mediterranean agro-forest habitat components by wood mice *Apodemus sylvaticus*. Mamm. Biol. 76, 268–273.
- Seget, B., Bogdziewicz, M., Holeksa, J., Ledwon, M., Piechnik, L., Milne-Rostkowska, F., Kondrat, K., Zywiec, M., 2022. Masting increases seedling recruitment near and far: predator satiation and improved dispersal in a fleshy-fruited tree. J. Ecol. 110, 2321–2331.
- Seiwa, K., 2000. Effects of seed size and emergence time on tree seedling establishment: importance of developmental constraints. Oecologia 123, 208–215.
- Silvertown, J.W., 1980. The evolutionary ecology of mast seeding in trees. Biol. J. Linn. Soc. 14, 235–250.
- Smit, C., Verwijmeren, M., 2011. Tree-shrub associations in grazed woodlands: first rodents, then cattle? Plant Ecol. 212, 483–493.
- Smit, R., Bokdam, J., den Ouden, J., Olff, H., Schot-Opschoor, H., Schrijvers, M., 2001. Effects of introduction and exclusion of large herbivores on small rodent communities. Plant Ecol. 155, 119–127.
- Smith III, T.J., 1987. Seed predation in relation to tree dominance and distribution in mangrove forests. Ecology 68, 266–273.
- Smith, C.C., Reichman, O.J., 1984. The evolution of food caching by birds and mammals. Annu. Rev. Ecol. Syst. 15, 329–351.
- Steele, M.A., Contreras, T.A., Hadj-Chikh, L.Z., Agosta, S.J., Smallwood, P.D., Tomlinson, C.N., 2014. Do scatter hoarders trade off increased predation risks for lower rates of cache pilferage? Behav. Ecol. 25, 206–215.
- Sunyer, P., Muñoz, A., Bonal, R., Espelta, J.M., 2013. The ecology of seed dispersal by small rodents: a role for predator and conspecific scents. Funct. Ecol. 27, 1313–1321.
- Sunyer, P., Espelta, J.M., Bonal, R., Muñoz, A., 2014. Seeding phenology influences wood mouse seed choices: the overlooked role of timing in the foraging decisions by seed-dispersing rodents. Behav. Ecol. Sociobiol. 68, 1205–1213.
- Sunyer, P., Muñoz, A., Mazerolle, M.J., Bonal, R., Espelta, J.M., 2016. Wood mouse population dynamics: interplay among seed abundance seasonality, shrub cover and wild boar interference. Mamm. Biol. 81, 372–379.
- Therneau, T.M., 2020. coxme: mixed effects cox models. R package version 2.2-16. <http://CRAN.R-project.org/package=coxme>.
- Therneau, T.M., 2021. survival: a package for survival analysis in R. R package version 3.2-13. <https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=survival>.
- Therneau, T.M., Grambsch, P.M., 2000. Modeling Survival Data: Extending the Cox Model. Springer, New York.
- Torre, I., Díaz, M., Martínez-Padilla, J., Bonal, R., Vinuela, J., Fargallo, J.A., 2007. Cattle grazing, raptor abundance and small mammal communities in Mediterranean grasslands. Basic Appl. Ecol. 8, 565–575.
- Vander Wall, S.B., 2001. The evolutionary ecology of nut dispersal. Bot. Rev. 67, 74–117.
- Vander Wall, S.B., 2002. Masting in animal-dispersed pines facilitates seed dispersal. Ecology 83, 3508–3516.
- Vander Wall, S.B., 2003. Effects of seed size of wind dispersed pines (*Pinus*) on secondary seed dispersal and the caching behavior of rodents. Oikos 100, 25–34.
- Vander Wall, S.B., 2010. How plants manipulate the scatter-hoarding behavior of seed-dispersing animals. Philos. Trans. R. Soc. Lond. B Biol. Sci. 365, 989–997.
- Vander Wall, S.B., Balda, R.P., 1977. Coadaptations of the Clark's nutcracker and the pinon pine for efficient seed harvest and dispersal. Ecol. Monogr. 47, 89–111.
- Vaz, P.G., Bugalho, M.N., Fedriani, J.M., Branco, M., Lecomte, X., Nogueira, C., Caldeira, M.C., 2019. Unravelling associations between tree-seedling performance, herbivory, competition, and facilitation in high nature value farmlands. J. Environ. Manage. 232, 1066–1074.
- Vaz, P.G., Merten, E.C., Robinson, C.T., Pinto, P., 2021. Severely burned wood from wildfires has low functional potential in streams. J. Appl. Ecol. 58, 1346–1356.
- Walter, C., Berjak, P., Pammenter, N., Kennedy, K., Raven, P., 2013. Preservation of recalcitrant seeds. Science 6122, 915–916.
- Wang, B., Ives, A.R., 2017. Tree-to-tree variation in seed size and its consequences for seed dispersal versus predation by rodents. Oecologia 183, 751–762.
- Watanabe, S., 2010. Asymptotic equivalence of Bayes cross validation and widely applicable information criterion in singular learning theory. J. Mach. Learn. Res. 11, 3571–3594.
- WRB, 2006. World Reference Base for Soil Resources. A Framework for International Classification, Correlation and Communication. World Soil Reports, vol. 103. FAO, Rome.
- Xiao, Z.S., Zhang, Z.B., Whang, Y.S., 2005. Effects of seed size on dispersal distance in five rodent-dispersed fagaceous species. Acta Oecol. 28, 221–229.
- Xiao, Z.S., Jansen, P.A., Zhang, Z.B., 2006. Using seed-tagging methods for assessing post-dispersal seed fate in rodent-dispersed trees. For. Ecol. Manage. 223, 18–23.
- Xiao, Z., Zhang, Z., Krebs, C.J., 2013. Long-term seed survival and dispersal dynamics in a rodent-dispersed tree: testing the predator satiation hypothesis and the predator dispersal hypothesis. J. Ecol. 101, 1256–1264.
- Zwolak, R., Bogdziewicz, M., Wróbel, A., Crone, E.E., 2016. Advantages of masting in European beech: timing of granivore satiation and benefits of seed caching support the predator dispersal hypothesis. Oecologia 180, 749–758.
- Zwolak, R., Celebias, P., Bogdziewicz, M., 2022. Global patterns in the predator satiation effect of masting: a meta-analysis. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 119, 8.