

37 on track with the Net Zero Scenario by 2030 [4]. Notably, if all current projects in the
38 pipeline are realized, 240 GW of electrolyzers will be installed by 2030 [1].

39 Hydrogen may be produced from water electrolysis using renewable sources of elec-
40 tricity (“green hydrogen”), from steam reforming of fossil fuels (“grey hydrogen”),
41 from steam reforming of fossil fuels and subsequent capture of the produced carbon
42 dioxide (“blue hydrogen”), and even other alternatives [3]. Implementing any of these
43 technologies requires raw materials. In this work, we focus on the requirements for
44 electrolyzer production to assess the impact on the availability of cobalt (Co), iridium
45 (Ir), nickel (Ni), platinum (Pt), and titanium (Ti).
46

47 2 Data, scenarios, and assumptions

48 The analysis is based on the Portuguese Hydrogen Roadmap [2], which aims to deploy
49 2 GW of electrolyzers by 2030, the currently known project on the pipeline for 2030,
50 standing at 240 GW [1], and the Net Zero Scenario of IEA [4] with 720 GW of installed
51 capacity by 2030. One of the most challenging tasks is the definition of the market share
52 for each electrolyzer technology. Alkaline and proton exchange membrane (PEM) elec-
53 trolyzers are the leading technologies in the market. Alkaline electrolyzers are a well-
54 established technology, but it is expected that PEM will compete for a substantial mar-
55 ket share [5], and by 2024 they will achieve market parity which we assume will main-
56 tain until 2030. Estimates on the material intensity considered for each technology were
57 based on data compiled from the literature (Table 1).
58
59

Table 1 - Material intensity (kg/MW) for alkaline and PEM electrolyzers.

	Alkaline electrolyzer		PEM electrolyzer		Sources
	minimum	maximum	minimum	maximum	
Cobalt	7	8			[6]
Iridium			0.7	1.4	[5], [7], [8]
Nickel	800	1000			[9]
Platinum			0.07	1.7	[7], [10]
Titanium			400	500	[5], [7]

60

61 3 Results

62 The requirements pondered in each scenario were calculated according to the assump-
63 tions indicated in the previous section. The results are summarized in Table 2, with the
64 maximum and minimum material needs for each scenario, up to 2030.

65 Another critical part of the intended analysis is the impact the implementation of
66 hydrogen technologies may have on the supply of raw materials. For this, we consid-
67 ered as reference the data from USGS regarding the production of the selected metals

68 in 2020, the available reserves, and estimated resources (Table 3) [11]. As Pt and Ir are
 69 platinum group metals (PGM), the resources and reserves are combined in PGM, thus
 70 including palladium (Pd), osmium (Os), ruthenium (Ru), and rhodium (Rh).

71
 72

Table 2 - Material needs for the three different scenarios studied—values in tonnes.

	Portugal		World Net Zero Scenario		World Pipeline Projects	
	minimum	maximum	minimum	maximum	minimum	maximum
Cobalt	7	8	2,520	2,880	840	960
Iridium	0.7	1.4	252	504	84	168
Nickel	800	1,000	288,000	360,000	96,000	120,000
Platinum	0.07	1.7	25	612	8	204
Titanium	400	500	144,000	180,000	48,000	60,000

73
 74
 75

Table 3 - Available reserves, resources, and yearly production (in tonnes) for key metals.

	Reserves	Resources	Annual Production
Cobalt	7,500,000	25,000,000	170,000
Iridium			8
Nickel	100,000,000	300,000,000	2,700,000
Platinum			180
Titanium	750,000,000	2,000,000,000	9,000,000
PGM	70,000	100,000	450

76 4 Discussion and conclusions

77 The requirements for the Portuguese hydrogen roadmap are almost negligible compared
 78 to the global raw material needs for the same purpose. If enacted in the next five years,
 79 no problems should arise in its implementation. Regarding the calculated demand for
 80 Co, Ni, Pt, and Ti, none seem to raise red flags for implementing hydrogen technology
 81 worldwide. The exception is Ir, whose needs range from 84 to 168 tonnes in the Pipeline
 82 Projects scenario, and from 252 to 504 tonnes in the Net Zero scenario.

83 Iridium is a by-product of Pt and Pd mining, being always dependent on the produc-
 84 tion of the latter two metals. Moreover, the current production hegemony could gener-
 85 ate further stability problems in the global supply chain. In fact, South Africa ensures
 86 83% of the worldwide Ir production, complemented by outputs from Canada (4%),
 87 Russia (3%), and Zimbabwe (10%), according to the latest available USGS data in 2020
 88 [12]. These four countries supplied 8.1 tonnes of Ir in 2020.

89 We are assessing the material needs for electrolyzers up to 2030. Therefore, none of
90 the newly built electrolyzers is expected to be decommissioned by 2030. Consequently,
91 recycling Ir from electrolyzers is not a real possibility for the short term, and the outputs
92 potentially yielded by EOL products are not enough to fulfill the expected demand.
93 Thus, we consider all the needs for Ir in the following years to come mostly from pri-
94 mary production, despite the current >25-50% range of Ir recycling rate from EOL
95 products. In such conditions, the demand for Ir in the least material intensity scenario
96 (minimum world projects pipeline) will triple by 2030. For the highest demanding sce-
97 nario (Net Zero Scenario maximum values), the yearly production must steeply increase
98 to meet demand sixteen times above the level recorded in 2020. These figures highlight
99 one fundamental issue: it will be challenging to attain the commitments using a large
100 share of PEM electrolyzers and only employing “green hydrogen” technologies. More
101 research is needed focusing on the Ir material intensity, as it needs to fall considerably,
102 or finding a proper replacement for Ir. Another consequence is the need to consider
103 alternative hydrogen technologies other than “green hydrogen,” especially the low-car-
104 bon ones like “blue hydrogen,” “turquoise hydrogen,” or “pink hydrogen” [3]. The re-
105 sulting mix of technologies will be fundamental to develop a fully sustained hydrogen
106 economy.

107 **References**

- 108 1. IEA: Global Hydrogen Review 2022, 284p (2022).
- 109 2. DGEG: Roteiro e Plano de Ação para o Hidrogénio em Portugal, 80p (2019).
- 110 3. Ajanovic, A., Sayer, M. & Haas, R.: The economics and the environmental benignity of
111 different colors of hydrogen. *Int J Hydrogen Energy* 47, 24136–24154 (2022).
- 112 4. IEA: Net Zero by 2050 - A Roadmap for the Global Energy Sector, 224p (2021)
- 113 5. Kiemel, S., Smolinka, T., Lehner, F., Full, J., Sauer, A., Mieke, R.: Critical materials for
114 water electrolyzers at the example of the energy transition in Germany. *Int J Energy Res* 45,
115 9914–9935 (2021).
- 116 6. Fuel Cells and Hydrogen Joint Undertaking: Addendum to the Multi-Annual Work Plan
117 2014 - 2020. 55p (2018)
- 118 7. Bareiß, K., de la Rua, C., Möckl, M., Hamacher, T.: Life cycle assessment of hydrogen from
119 proton exchange membrane water electrolysis in future energy systems. *Appl Energy* 237,
120 862–872 (2019).
- 121 8. Carmo, M., Keeley, G.P., Holtz, D., Grube, T., Robinius, M., Müller, M., Stolten, D.: PEM
122 water electrolysis: innovative approaches towards catalyst separation, recovery and recy-
123 cling. *Int J Hydrogen Energy* 44, 3450–3455 (2019).
- 124 9. IEA: The Role of Critical Minerals in Clean Energy Transitions, World Energy Outlook
125 Special Report. International Energy Agency, 287p (2021)
- 126 10. Bachmann, T.M., Carnicelli, F., Preiss, P.: Life cycle assessment of domestic fuel cell micro
127 combined heat and power generation: Exploring influential factors. *Int J Hydrogen Energy*
128 44, 3891–3905 (2019).
- 129 11. USGS: Mineral Commodity Summaries 2022. 206p (2022).
- 130 12. USGS Platinum-Group Metals Statistics and Information Minerals.
131 [https://www.usgs.gov/centers/national-minerals-information-center/platinum-group-met-](https://www.usgs.gov/centers/national-minerals-information-center/platinum-group-metals-statistics-and-information)
132 [als-statistics-and-information](https://www.usgs.gov/centers/national-minerals-information-center/platinum-group-metals-statistics-and-information), last accessed 2023/01/03